



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,229	08/20/2003	Sigang Qiu	P16581	5721	
28062	7590 12/01/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BUCKLEY, MASCHOFF, TALWALKAR LLC			TRAN, QU	TRAN, QUOC DUC	
5 ELM STREET NEW CANAAN, CT 06840			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, 01 00010		2643		

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/644,229	QIU, SIGANG				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Quoc D. Tran	2643				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress			
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICA	ATION. Ily be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	October 2005					
2a)□		nis action is non-final.					
3)	<u> </u>						
·	closed in accordance with the practice under		•				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6,9-14,20,22,23,25,26,28,41 and 43</u> is/are pending in the application.						
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 1-3,5,6,9-14,20,22,23,25,26,28,41 and 43 is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreion All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Ap	plication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		eceived in this National	l Stage			
	application from the International Bure						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not re	eceived.				
Attachmen		, (1)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Sui Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-6, 9-14, 20, 22-23, 25-26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kamali et al (2004/0258000).

Consider claim 1, Kamali et al teach a method comprising: performing at least part of a digital subscriber line handshaking process by transmitting at least one handshaking signal to or from a DSL modem via a telephone subscriber loop; and analyzing the at least one handshaking signal to detect estimated length of the telephone subscriber loop (see ¶ 0005).

Consider claim 2, Kamali et al teach the method further comprising: determining an operating function of an item of terminal equipment connected to the telephone subscriber loop based at least in part on the estimated length of the telephone subscriber loop (see ¶ 0030).

Consider claims 3, Kamali et al teach wherein the determining includes determining whether to perform trellis coded modulation in the item of terminal equipment (see ¶ 0034).

Consider claim 5, Kamali et al teach wherein it is determined not to perform trellis coded modulation in the item of terminal equipment if the estimated length of the telephone subscriber loop is less than a predetermined length (see ¶ 0025, 0034).

Consider claim 6, Kamali et al teach wherein the item of terminal equipment is a digital subscriber line modem (see ¶ 0022).

Consider claim 9, Kamali et al teach wherein the analyzing to detect the estimated length of the subscriber loop includes comparing a power spectrum density of upstream signal carriers to a power spectrum density of downstream signal carriers (see ¶ 0033).

Consider claim 10, Kamali et al teach wherein the analyzing to detect the estimated length of the subscriber loop also includes comparing a power spectrum density of a first group of downstream signal carriers to a power spectrum density of a second group of downstream signal carriers, wherein each signal carrier of the first group of downstream signal carriers is at a higher frequency than each signal carrier of the second group of downstream signal carriers (see ¶ 0030-0033).

Consider claim 11, Kamali et al teach wherein the analyzing to detect the estimated length of the subscriber loop includes comparing a power spectrum density of a first group of downstream signal carriers to a power spectrum density of a second group of downstream signal carriers, wherein each signal carrier of the first group of downstream signal carriers is at a higher frequency than each signal carrier of the second group of downstream signal carriers (see ¶ 0030-0033).

Consider claim 12, Kamali et al teach the method further comprising: determining, based at least in part on the estimated length of the telephone subscriber loop, a parameter for a digital subscriber line training process (see ¶ 0023).

Consider claim 13, Kamali et al teach wherein the determined parameter is used for one of (a) an equalizer function, (b) a timing recovery function, and (c) an automatic gain control function (see ¶ 0023, 0024).

Consider claim 14, Kamali et al teach the method further comprising: predicting a digital subscriber line service data rate for the telephone subscriber loop on the basis of the estimated length of the telephone subscriber loop (see ¶ 0030-0033).

Consider claim 20, Kamali et al teach an apparatus comprising: a memory; a processor coupled to the memory to: receive at least one handshaking signal transmitted to or from a DSL modem via a telephone subscriber loop in connection with a digital subscriber line handshaking process; and analyze the at least one handshaking signal to detect an estimated length of the telephone subscriber loop (see ¶ 0005, 0019).

Consider claim 22, Kamali et al teach wherein the processor is also to determine not to perform trellis coded modulation if the estimated length of the telephone subscriber loop is less than a predetermined length (see ¶ 0034).

Consider claim 23, Kamali et al teach an apparatus comprising: means for receiving at least one handshaking signal transmitted to or from a DSL modem via a telephone subscriber loop in connection with a digital subscriber line handshaking process; and means for analyzing the at least one handshaking signal to detect an estimated length of the telephone subscriber loop (see ¶ 0005).

Consider claim 25, Kamali et al teach the apparatus further comprising means for determine not to perform trellis coded modulation if the estimated length of the telephone subscriber loop is less than a predetermined length (see ¶ 0034).

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 26, Kamali et al teach an apparatus comprising: a storage medium having stored thereon instructions that when executed by a machine result in the following: receiving at least one handshaking signal transmitted to or from a DSL modem via a telephone subscriber loop in connection with a digital subscriber line handshaking process; and analyzing the at least one handshaking signal to detect an estimated length of the telephone subscriber loop (see ¶ 0005).

Consider claim 28, Kamali et al teach the apparatus further comprising instruction for determine not to perform trellis coded modulation if the estimated length of the telephone subscriber loop is less than a predetermined length (see ¶ 0034).

3. Claims 41 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamali et al (2004/0258000) in view of Johnson (6,845,248).

Consider claim 41, Kamali et al teach a system comprising: the digital subscriber line modem including: a memory; a processor coupled to the memory to: receive at least one handshaking signal transmitted to or from a DSL modem via a telephone subscriber loop in connection with a digital subscriber line handshaking process (col. 4 line 62 – col. 5 line 16); and analyze the at least one handshaking signal to detect an estimated length of the telephone subscriber loop (see ¶ 0005, 0019).

Kamali et al did not suggest the system comprising a radio frequency transceiver; and a digital subscriber line modem coupled to the radio frequency transceiver, However, Johnson suggested such (see Fig. 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/644,229 Page 6

Art Unit: 2643

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Johnson into view of Kamali et al in order to provide communication with the modern wirelessly.

Consider claim 43, Kamali et al teach wherein the processor is also to determine not to perform trellis coded modulation if the estimated length of the telephone subscriber loop is less than a predetermined length (see ¶ 0025, 0034).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-6, 9-14, 20, 22-23, 25-26, 28, 41 and 43 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop _____(explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Application/Control Number: 10/644,229

Art Unit: 2643

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Quoc Tran** whose telephone number is (571) 272-7511. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, TH and Friday from 8:00 to 6:30 PM.

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Curtis Kuntz**, can be reached on (571) 272-7499.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600** whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

QUOCTRAN Y EXAMINER

ÁU 2643

November 26, 2005